Zero Knowledge Compilers

John McCall

Division of Science and Mathematics University of Minnesota, Morris Morris, Minnesota, USA

December 7, 2013

McCall (U of Minn, Morris)

Zero Knowledge Compilers

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Overview

- Zero knowledge protocols are used to prove the validity of a statement, without revealing anything other than the correctness of the claim.
- They have practical applications in cryptography.
- They are difficult to design and to implement.
- Zero knowledge compilers help to ease this burden.

Outline




Compilers 2



Zero Knowledge Compilers

Conclusion

Outline



2 Compilers

- 3 Zero Knowledge Compilers
- 4 Conclusion

Zero Knowledge Proofs

- Consist of a prover and a verifier.
- Can be used to prove knowledge of a statement.
- Are a type of interactive proof.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Interactive Proof

Must satisfy:

- Completeness: If the statement being proven is true, an honest verifier, a verifier correctly following the protocol, will be convinced after interacting with an honest prover.
- Soundness: If the statement is false, no prover, either honest or dishonest, will be able to convince an honest verifier, except with some small probability.

4 3 5 4 3 5

Zero Knowledge Proof

Must satisfy:

• Zero knowledge: Any knowledge known by the prover or the verifier before performing the proof is the same as the knowledge known by either party after performing the proof.

Setup




Figure : An image of the cave. Modified from: wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-knowledge_proof

McCall (U of Minn, Morris)

Zero Knowledge Compilers

3 > < 3 >

< 6 b

Protocol




Figure : Illustration of the magic cave protocol. Taken from: wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-knowledge_proof

McCall (U of Minn, Morris)

Zero Knowledge Compilers

- A *cycle* is a list of connected vertices of a graph which starts and ends at the same vertex.
- A *Hamiltonian path* is a list of connected vertices of a graph which includes each vertex exactly once.
- A Hamiltonian cycle is a Hamiltonian path which is also a cycle.




Figure : An example of a Hamiltonian cycle. The solid line marks the path. Taken from: wikipedia.org/wiki/Hamiltonian_path

McCall (U of Minn, Morris)

Zero Knowledge Compilers

December 7, 2013 10 / 33

An *isomorphism*, f : V(G) → V(H), of graphs G and H is a bijection between the vertex sets of G and H such that any two vertices u and v are adjacent in G if and only if f(u) and f(v) are adjacent in H.

(日)

One-way Functions

- Difficult to reverse.
- Low probability of collisions.
- Used when the prover needs to choose a value which remains a secret at first, but will be revealed later.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



- Want to prove knowledge of a Hamiltonian cycle in a graph without revealing the cycle.
- Peggy knows a Hamiltonian Cycle for a graph, *G*. Victor has knowledge of *G* but not the cycle.

3

Protocol

- Peggy constructs *H*, a graph which is isomorphic to *G*. Since Peggy knows a Hamiltonian cycle for *G* she must know one for *H* as well.
- Peggy commits to H, using a one-way function. Doing this means that Peggy cannot change H without Victor finding out.
- Victor then randomly asks Peggy to do one of two things. Either show the isomorphism between *H* and *G*, or show a Hamiltonian cycle in *H*.

Protocol

- If Peggy was asked to show that the two graphs are isomorphic, she starts by revealing *H* to Victor. She also reveals the isomorphism between *G* and *H*. Victor can then verify that the two graphs are isomorphic.
- If Peggy was asked to show a Hamiltonian cycle in *H*, she first translates the cycle from *G* onto *H*. She then reveals to Victor the Hamiltonian cycle in *H*.
- In both cases Victor must also verify that *H* is the same graph that Peggy committed to by using the same one-way function and comparing the outputs.

Outline



2 Compilers

3) Zero Knowledge Compilers

Conclusion

æ

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Compilers

- Translates one language into another.
- Many different types of compilers: single-pass compilers, multi-pass, optimizing compilers, and many others.
- The first compilers started to appear in the 1950s.
- Notoriously difficult to implement, at the time.
- There are two parts to compilation, analysis and synthesis.

Zero Knowledge Compilers

- Take, as input, an abstract proof specification or proof-goal, written in languages designed specifically for this problem.
- Output an implementation of the given specification in a high-level language, usually C++ or Java.

Outline

Zero Knowledge Protocols

2) Compilers



- Zero Knowledge Compilers
- Background
- ZKCrypt
- ZKPDL



A *group*, in a mathematical sense, is a set, *G* paired with an operation, \odot . Written as (G, \odot)

• The set must be closed under that operation.

• If $a, b \in G$ then $a \odot b \in G$.

The operation must be associative.

• If $a, b, c \in G$ then $(a \odot b) \odot c = a \odot (b \odot c)$.

• There must be an identity element.

• There exists an $e \in G$ such that for all $a \in G$, $a \odot e = a$.

- There must be an inverse element.
 - For all $a \in G$, there exists an $a^{-1} \in G$ such that, $a \odot a^{-1} = e$.

- The *preimage* of a set, *B*, under some function, *f* : *A* → *B*, is the set of all elements *a* in *A* such that *f*(*a*) is in *B*.
- For example, if $f(x) = x^2$ then the preimage of $\{4\}$ would be $\{-2, 2\}$

3

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

A mapping φ : G → H from a group, (G, ⊙), to another group, (H, ⊕), is called a *homomorphism* if and only if for all a, b in G the following equation holds: φ(a ⊙ b) = φ(a) ⊕ φ(b)

Homomorphism




Figure : Diagram showing a homomorphism.

McCall (U of Minn, Morris)

Zero Knowledge Compilers

December 7, 2013 23 / 33

2



- Developed by Almedia et al.
- An optimizing cryptographic compiler.

3

ZKCrypt

ZKCrypt




Figure : Diagram showing a homomorphism.

McCall (U of Minn, Morris)

Zero Knowledge Compilers

December 7, 2013 25 / 33

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The Input

Consists of:

- Declarations block.
- Inputs block.
- Protocol block:
 - Homomorphism.
 - Relation.

э

ZKCrypt

- *Verified compilation* is where the correctness of the output is proven once-and-for-all.
- *Verifying compilation* is where the correctness of the output is checked on each run.

ZKPDL

- Developed by Meiklejohn et al.
- Primarily used in electronic cash.
- Split into independent two blocks: computation and proof.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The Input

- Computation block:
 - Given block.
 - Compute block.
- Proof block:
 - Given block.
 - Prove knowledge of block.
 - Such that block.

(4) (5) (4) (5)

Outline



2 Compilers

3 Zero Knowledge Compilers

Conclusion

æ

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Final Thoughts

- ZKCrypt, more general purpose, performs optimizations.
- ZKPDL, more focused on e-cash.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

References

J. B. Almeida, M. Barbosa, E. Bangerter, G. Barthe, S. Krenn, and S. Z. Béguelin.

Full proof cryptography: verifiable compilation of efficient zero-knowledge protocols.

In *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM conference on Computer and communications security, CCS '12*, pages 488-500, ACM, New York, New York, USA, 2012.

S. Meiklejohn, C. Erway, A. Küpçü, T. Hinkle,and A. Lysyanskaya. ZKPDL: a language-based system for efficient zero-knowledge proofs and electronic cash.

In Proceedings of the 19th USENIX conference on Security , USENIX Security'10, USENIX Association, Berkeley, CA, USA.

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Thank you!

Questions?

McCall (U of Minn, Morris)

Zero Knowledge Compilers

December 7, 2013 33 / 33

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト