Gait Recognition in Mobile Security

Chase R. Ottomoeller

Division of Science and Mathematics University of Minnesota, Morris Morris, Minnesota, USA

December 6, 2014 Senior Seminar, Morris

Mobile Security

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

The Big Picture

- What is Mobile Security?
 - Information Storage
 - Device Access
- How is mobile security evolving?
 - No More Passwords
 - Something You Are



http://mobilebuzz.guru/wpcontent/uploads/2014/06/Mobile-Security.png

Outline





- Preprocessing The Data 2
- Feature Extraction 3
- Gait Classification





Outline



- Two Methods
- 2) Preprocessing The Data
- 3 Feature Extraction
- 4 Gait Classification
- Results



< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Biometrics

- Biometrics
- Gait Recognition
- Why Gait is Better
- Unobtrusive Access



http://www.smc2012.org/images/jain3-1.jpg

Two Methods

- Fixed Method
- Unfixed Method

Ottomoeller (U of Minn, Morris)

Mobile Security

December '14, SS, Morris 6 / 42

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Fixed Method Approach

- 51 Subjects
- Phone Clipped to Waist
- Walked Down 18.5 Meter Hallway
- Separated into "Walks"



< 6 b

Unfixed Method Approach

- 47 Subjects
- Phone in more natural location (pocket, handbag, backpack)
- Performed in Real-world Environments
- Separated Into Frames



4 **A** N A **B** N A **B** N

Outline

Background



- Preprocessing The Data
- What is Preprocessing?
- Fixed Method Preprocessing
- Unfixed Method Preprocessing
- 3 Feature Extraction
- 4 Gait Classification
- Results



What is Preprocessing?

Preprocessing

- Separates accelerometer data into sections
- Drops sections with little or no movement





Fixed Method Preprocessing

- Walk Extraction
- Linear Interpolation (curve fitting)
- Zero Normalization



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Walk Extraction

 Separates walking data from non-walking data



A (10) × A (10) × A (10)

Linear Interpolation

- Linear Interpolation (curve fitting)
- Organizes the data for future steps



Zero Normalization

- Only need the axis influenced by gravity
- Acceleration along the other two axes must be zero



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Unfixed Method Preprocessing

Framing

Projection



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Framing

- Separating Data into Equal Sections
- Frame Length: 5.12 seconds
- Each Frame contains 512 Samples
- Stationary frames are dropped



Projection

- Each sample is projected onto a global coordinate system (sample = x, y, and z)
- Estimating direction of gravity with changes in x, y, and z.
- Frame dropped if orientation is changed



Outline

3

Background



Feature Extraction

- What is Feature Extraction?
- Fixed Method Feature Extraction
- Unfixed Feature Extraction

Gait Classification

5 Results



伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

What is Feature Extraction?

• Feature extraction separates "walking" cycles from "non-walking" cycles

Fixed Method Feature Extraction

• Four Steps:

- Cycle Length Estimation
- Cycle Detection
- Cycle length normalization
- Omitting Unusual Cycles

Cycle Length Estimation

- Computes the Minimum Salience Vector of each cycle
- Minimum Salience Vector
 - Contains one entry for each data point
 - Each entry is the count of data values between the current value and following smaller value



Cycle Detection

- Detecting Individual Cycles
- Start of each cycle is located using the entry with the greatest value
- Spikes show the length of each cycle
- Long cycles are split again using the same method



< 6 b

Cycle Length Normalization

- The distance of each cycle is measured from the start of one cycle to the start of the following.
- Cycles need to be of a set length for later Gait Analysis
- Linear Interpolation helps to normalize the data



A (10) A (10) A (10) A

Omitting Unusual Cycles

- Deleting Unusual Gait Cycles
- Dynamic Time Warping (DTW): An algorithm used to measure similarity between two sequences



 Cycles with half the distance of the average cycle are dropped



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Unfixed Method Feature Extraction

- Three Steps:
 - Feature Extraction I
 - Walking Detection
 - Feature Extraction II

э

Feature Extraction I

- Determines differences between "walking" and "non-walking"
- Walking 1-2Hz vs Running >3Hz
- These features are used in Walking Detection

3

Walking Detection

- Three classifications:
 - Walking: 1-2Hz
 - Non-Walking: >3Hz (running, biking, in moving vehicle)
 - Random Movements: >0Hz (transitional movements, short spikes)
- Cycles labeled as walking move onto the next step

3

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

Feature Extraction II

- Once Walking Detection confirms that the frame contains walking data, more relevant features are extracted
- Some features are extracted using Autocorrelation

Autocorrelation

- Useful to find periodicity and cadence of a cycle
- Example: Phone inside a pocket
- Segmentation methods, like minimum salience vectors, cannot be used
- Autocorrelation can reveal features even with noise

Projected Horizontal Component





-0.5

100

200

300

400

Outline



Preprocessing The Data

3) Feature Extraction

4

- Gait Classification
- Overview
- Fixed Method Gait Classification
- Unfixed Method Gait Classification

Results



伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Overview

What is Gait Classification?

 Gait Classification determines if the user is "genuine" or an "impostor"

Fixed Method Gait Classification

- Template-based
- Machine Learning

Ottomoeller (U of Minn, Morris)

Mobile Security

December '14, SS, Morris 32 / 42

э

Template-based

- Feature Cycle: The cycle with the lowest DTW distance
- Probe Cycles: The remaining cycles
- After computing probe and reference cycles for all walks two classes are made:
 - Genuine
 - Impostor
- Genuine and Impostor are made by comparing the DTW distance of all the reference and probe cycles
- 50% of the Probe cycles must be classified as genuine

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Machine Learning

- Data is split into two groups:
 - Training (80%)
 - Testing (20%)
- Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are used for biometric classification
- A SVM finds a hyperplane that linearly separates data into two classes: genuine and impostor



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Machine Learning

- The data is not usually linearly separable. Therefore, a kernel function is used.
- A kernel function maps non linearly separable data to a high dimension space
- These data points are now compared to the Testing data set and labelled as genuine or imposter
- Classification: Class with the most data points



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Unixed Method Gait Classification

- Universal Background Model:
 - Data Pooled from a group of subjects
 - Represents various gait patterns

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Unfixed Method

- The UBM is trained with a user's data
- The current user's gait model is generated from the extracted features
- The current user's model is compared to the personalized Universal Background Model and either accepts or rejects.
- Further training of the UBM is done by recording false negatives

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Outline

- Background
- Preprocessing The Data
- 3 Feature Extraction
- 4 Gait Classification





э

Conclusions

- Accuracy calculated by Equal Error Rate (EER):
 - False acceptance rate and false rejection rate are equal.
 - The Lower the EER the more accurate the method
- EER:
 - Fixed: EER 22.49%
 - Unfixed: EER 14%
- RunTime:
 - Fixed: 2-3 minutes
 - Unfixed: 30 milliseconds

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Outline

- Background
- Preprocessing The Data
- 3 Feature Extraction
- 4 Gait Classification
- 5 Results



э

Conclusions

- The unfixed method:
 - Uses a real-world approach
 - More accurate
 - Faster

э

References

Questions?

- 1) H. Lu, J. Huang, T. Saha, and L. Nachman, Unobtrusive gait verification for mobile phones, 2014
- 2) M. Muaaz and R. Mayrhofer, An analysis of different approaches to gait recognition using cellphone based accelerometers, 2013