Data-Dependent Hashing for Approximate Nearest Neighbor Searches

Matthew Kangas

U of M - Morris

15th April 2017

Matthew Kangas (U of M - Morris)

Data-Dependent Hashing



• "Aple", "Spple", "Apble", or "Aplpe"?

• "Apple" could be misspelled as "Aple", "Spple", "Apble", or "Aplpe"?

- "Apple" could be misspelled as "Aple", "Spple", "Apble", or "Aplpe"?
- These are all close, but not exact

- "Apple" could be misspelled as "Aple", "Spple", "Apble", or "Aplpe"?
- These are all close, but not exact
- Many possible dictionary words, very few are plausible

- "Apple" could be misspelled as "Aple", "Spple", "Apble", or "Aplpe"?
- These are all close, but not exact
- Many possible dictionary words, very few are plausible
- Goal is to find the nearest neighbor to the misspelled word

• Searching for an exact match in a dictionary doesn't work, since it won't match exactly

- Searching for an exact match in a dictionary doesn't work, since it won't match exactly
- Use data-dependent hashing to structure the dictionary to find close neighbors

Outline

Background

- Nearest Neighbor and Approximate Nearest Neighbor
- Hashing
- Locality Sensitive Hashing
- 2 Data-Dependent Hashing
 - Spherical LSH
 - Preprocessing
 - Querying



Outline for section 1

Background

- Nearest Neighbor and Approximate Nearest Neighbor
- Hashing
- Locality Sensitive Hashing
- Data-Dependent Hashing
 - Spherical LSH
 - Preprocessing
 - Querying

Conclusion

Nearest Neighbor Applications

- Spell Checking
- Fingerprint Matching
- Character Matching





• Used for finding close, but maybe not exact matches

- Used for finding close, but maybe not exact matches
- Requires an established set of possible matches

- Used for finding close, but maybe not exact matches
- Requires an established set of possible matches
 - Dictionary or Collection of faces

- Used for finding close, but maybe not exact matches
- Requires an established set of possible matches
 - Dictionary or Collection of faces
- Takes a query item, and finds the closes matching item in the set

- Used for finding close, but maybe not exact matches
- Requires an established set of possible matches
 - Dictionary or Collection of faces
- Takes a query item, and finds the closes matching item in the set
- This is very computationally expensive on large sets

• Variant of the nearest neighbor search

- Variant of the nearest neighbor search
- Returns an item that is within set bounds instead of the absolute closest

- Variant of the nearest neighbor search
- Returns an item that is within set bounds instead of the absolute closest
- Implementations are considerably faster than NNS implementations

- Variant of the nearest neighbor search
- Returns an item that is within set bounds instead of the absolute closest
- Implementations are considerably faster than NNS implementations
- Data-Dependent Hashing (*DDH*) is an improvement on Locality Sensitive Hashing (*LSH*), two methods of solving ANN

Hashing

• Commonly used in both organizing data and verifying equality

- Commonly used in both organizing data and verifying equality
- If two files return the same result given the same hash function, they are most likely the same

- Commonly used in both organizing data and verifying equality
- If two files return the same result given the same hash function, they are most likely the same
- However if two distinct files are hashed and return the same result, this is called a *collision*

- Commonly used in both organizing data and verifying equality
- If two files return the same result given the same hash function, they are most likely the same
- However if two distinct files are hashed and return the same result, this is called a *collision*
- Hash("Apple") = 9f6290f4436e5a2351f12e03b6433c3c

- Commonly used in both organizing data and verifying equality
- If two files return the same result given the same hash function, they are most likely the same
- However if two distinct files are hashed and return the same result, this is called a *collision*
- Hash("Apple") = 9f6290f4436e5a2351f12e03b6433c3c
- Hash("Apble") = 674dc064ecd744c1d85d2b471cca818b

Hash Tables

Table 1: Possible Words Hash Table

Кеу	Value
9f6290f4436e5a2351f12e03b6433c3c	Apple
674dc064ecd744c1d85d2b471cca818b	Apble
c935d187f0b998ef720390f85014ed1e	Dog
6d5c6fcfde82eb131e35fb1cf1cd8143	Cog
b81a30c12698563b79179ec37d43629b	Approximate
e498749f3c42246d50b15c81c101d988	Application

• Family of hash functions defined on a data space

- Family of hash functions defined on a data space
- Build to have specific properties distinct from conventional hashing

- Family of hash functions defined on a data space
- Build to have specific properties distinct from conventional hashing
- Similar inputs ideally collide

- Family of hash functions defined on a data space
- Build to have specific properties distinct from conventional hashing
- Similar inputs ideally collide
- Dissimilar inputs ideally do not collide

- Family of hash functions defined on a data space
- Build to have specific properties distinct from conventional hashing
- Similar inputs ideally collide
- Dissimilar inputs ideally do not collide
- Apple and Applw have a higher chance of collision in their outputs than Apple and Brown

- Family of hash functions defined on a data space
- Build to have specific properties distinct from conventional hashing
- Similar inputs ideally collide
- Dissimilar inputs ideally do not collide
- Apple and Applw have a higher chance of collision in their outputs than Apple and Brown
- Allows for data to be loosely categorized based on hash results

• Set of information is preprocessed into a data structure using set of hashes

- Set of information is preprocessed into a data structure using set of hashes
- Stored in hash tables each tied with a different hash function

- Set of information is preprocessed into a data structure using set of hashes
- Stored in hash tables each tied with a different hash function
- To query, item is passed through each hash, items are retrieved from tables based on hash results

- Set of information is preprocessed into a data structure using set of hashes
- Stored in hash tables each tied with a different hash function
- To query, item is passed through each hash, items are retrieved from tables based on hash results
- This reduces the number of items that need to be compared directly

Preprocessing

Table 2: LSH Hash Tables

Hash #1				Hash #2			Hash #3			
	Key	Value		Key	Value	1	Key	Value		
	AP	Apple		A_P	Apple	1	P_L	Apple		
	AB	Able		A_L	Able	1	B₋E	Able		
	PL	Play		P_A	Play	1	L_Y	Play		
	TH	This		T_I	This		H_S	This		
	AI	Airplane		A_R	Airplane		I_L	Airplane		
	FL	Flight		F_I	Flight		L_G	Flight		
	BA	Banana		B_N	Banana	1	A_A	Banana		
	GR	Green		G₋E	Green	1	R₋E	Green		
	BR	Brown		B_O	Brown	1	R_W	Brown		

Querying

Table 3: LSH Hash Tables

Hash #1				Hash	#2		Hash #3	
	Key	Value		Key	Value		Key	Value
\rightarrow	AP	Apple		A_P	Apple	\rightarrow	_P_L	Apple
	AB	Able	-	$A_{-}L$	Able		_B_E	Able
	PL	Play		P_A	Play		_L_Y	Play
	TH	This		T_I	This		_H_S	This
	AR	Arbor	\rightarrow	A_B	Arbor		_R_O	Arbor
	FL	Flight		F_I	Flight		$_L_G$	Flight
	BA	Banana		B_N	Banana	1	$_A_A$	Banana
	GR	Green		G₋E	Green		_R_E	Green
	BR	Brown		B_O	Brown		$_R_W$	Brown

Outline for section 2

Background

- Nearest Neighbor and Approximate Nearest Neighbor
- Hashing
- Locality Sensitive Hashing
- 2 Data-Dependent Hashing
 - Spherical LSH
 - Preprocessing
 - Querying

Conclusion

Overview

• Faster and More efficient ANN search

Overview

• Faster and More efficient ANN search

• Created by Andoni et al.[1]

Matthew Kangas (U of M - Morris)

• Version of a locality sensitive hash

- Version of a locality sensitive hash
- Partitions a *d*-dimensional set

- Version of a locality sensitive hash
- Partitions a *d*-dimensional set
- Data set is projected onto the surface of a *d*-dimension sphere or radius 1

- Version of a locality sensitive hash
- Partitions a *d*-dimensional set
- Data set is projected onto the surface of a *d*-dimension sphere or radius 1
- Items are chosen randomly to be the center of a partition

- Version of a locality sensitive hash
- Partitions a *d*-dimensional set
- Data set is projected onto the surface of a *d*-dimension sphere or radius 1
- Items are chosen randomly to be the center of a partition
- When complete every partition will be a group of relatively close items

- Data set is recursively partitioned with spherical hash
 - Each partition's contents are partitioned if the radius of the partition is over a set size







• Data set must be at most dimension $log(n) \cdot log(log(n))$

- Data set must be at most dimension $log(n) \cdot log(log(n))$
- Methods exist to insure this

- Data set must be at most dimension $log(n) \cdot log(log(n))$
- Methods exist to insure this
- Most cases have minimal distortion

• "Apble" is the misspelled word being queried



• Our word is not close to the center, so the recursive path is taken



• "Apble" is not found in the subpartition, so the query continues



• Here the point is found to be close enough to the center of the partition to be returned



Outline for section 3

Background

- Nearest Neighbor and Approximate Nearest Neighbor
- Hashing
- Locality Sensitive Hashing
- 2 Data-Dependent Hashing
 - Spherical LSH
 - Preprocessing
 - Querying



Preprocessing Time

Data-dependent Hashing

- $O(n^{1+o_c(1)})$
- *n* = number of items in set
- $o_c(1)$ small constant that approaches 0

- $O(kt \cdot n^{1+P})$
- *n* = number of items in set
- P = constant less than 1
- *k* = complexity of the hash function
- *t* = time to run each hash function

Search Efficiency

Data-dependent Hashing

- $O(n^{o_c(1)})$
- *n* = number of items in set
- $o_c(1)$ small constant that approaches 0

- $O(n^P(kt+d))$
- *n* = number of items in set
- P = constant less than 1
- *k* = complexity of the hash function
- *t* = time to run each hash function
- *d* = data set dimension

Space Requirements

Data-dependent Hashing

- $O(n^{1+o_c(1)})$
- *n* = number of items in set
- $o_c(1)$ small constant that approaches 0

- $O(n^{1+P})$
- *n* = number of items in set
- P = constant less than 1

References

[1] ANDONI, A., AND RAZENSHTEYN, I.

Optimal data-dependent hashing for approximate near neighbors. In *Proceedings of the Forty-seventh Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (New York, NY, USA, 2015), STOC '15, ACM, pp. 793–801.

[2] INDYK, P., AND MOTWANI, R.

Approximate nearest neighbors: Towards removing the curse of dimensionality. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (New York, NY, USA, 1998), STOC '98, ACM, pp. 604–613.

[3] WIKIPEDIA.

Locality-sensitive hashing — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2017. [Online; accessed 29-March-2017].

[4] WIKIPEDIA.

Nearest neighbor search — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2017. [Online; accessed 29-March-2017].

Questions?