

Khondoker Yasin Ahnaf Prio

Division of Science and Mathematics University of Minnesota, Morris Morris, Minnesota, USA

4/20/2019 CSci Senior Seminar

U of Minn, Morris

크

- イ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Khondoker Prio

The Big Picture

- Practices and methods to ensure efficient delivery and maintenance of software
- Gap between development and operation teams.
- DevOps closes this gap



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

DevOps Technologies

U of Minn, Morris

Khondoker Prio

Overview	Background	Five Key Aspects	Filling-The-Gap Tool	DevOps Results	Conclusion
Outlin	е				

- 2 Five Key Aspects of DevOps
- 3 Filling-The-Gap Tool
- 4 Results of Using DevOps

5 Conclusion

<□> < @> < E> < E> E のQC 3/29

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

Overview	Background	Five Key Aspects	Filling-The-Gap Tool	DevOps Results	Conclusion
Outlin	e				

- 2 Five Key Aspects of DevOps
- 3 Filling-The-Gap Tool
- 4 Results of Using DevOps

5 Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● ● の Q ○ 4/29

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

|□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Software Design and Development Cycle

Multiple developers write code for different features that together make software

- Repository is a central location where source code lies
- Build process is where source code is converted to object code using a set of instructions

Khondoker Prio

Working Example



Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

Software Operations and Maintenance

- Operations team moderate activities to make software run smoothly
- Test and verification that end-users are interacting as expected is done by monitoring platforms

Client-Server Model



U of Minn, Morris

Khondoker Prio

Overview	Background	Five Key Aspects	Filling-The-Gap Tool	DevOps Results	Conclusion
Outlin	e				

- 2 Five Key Aspects of DevOps
- 3 Filling-The-Gap Tool
- 4 Results of Using DevOps

5 Conclusion

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

1. Collaboration

- Share knowledge
- Cross functional teams and features
- Promote DevOps Culture



- 4 回 2 4 U = 2 4 U

DevOps: Collaboration

크 U of Minn. Morris

9/29

Khondoker Prio



- Ensure processes are executed same every time
- Trigger automatic feedback at critical points
- Decreases chances of human error

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

(E) → (E) →

3. Continuous Integration

- Development practice where developers integrate code into a shared repository frequently
- Deployment of actively integrated repository is called Continuous Deployment



DevOps: Continuous Integration

·□▶ ▲@▶ ▲ 분▶ ▲ 분▶ · 분· · · 의 · · · 이 ۹ (°· · 11/29

U of Minn. Morris

Khondoker Prio

Filling-The-Gap Tool

4. Continuous Testing

- Test code in development as features are being built
- Run tests when build starts
- Test in continuous integration pipelines



and notifies team if there's a problem.

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < 분 ▶ < 분 ▶ 분 · ⑦ < 약 12/29

U of Minn. Morris

Khondoker Prio

5. Continuous Monitoring

- Reporting of operations to developers and testers
- Response time certain calls
- End user peak timings
- Requests for specific services

Overview	Background	Five Key Aspects	Filling-The-Gap Tool	DevOps Results	Conclusion
Outline	Э				

- 2 Five Key Aspects of DevOps
- 3 Filling-The-Gap Tool
- 4 Results of Using DevOps

5 Conclusion

▲□▶▲@▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで 14/29

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

Objectives

- To parametrize software performance by identifying Service-Layer-Objective and measuring Quality-Of-Service
- To provide the developer with a report of application behavior at run time



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Khondoker Prio

FG Tool Architecture



Metrics from Monitoring Platform

Parameter	Data Required		
Population	Total Number of requests		
	Utilization		
D	Throughput		
Consumption	Queue Length		
Consumption	Response Times		
	Queue Length (arrival)		
	Throughput		
Think time	Total Number of Requests		
	Mean Number Requests		
Stage duration,	Start-up duration		
transition probs.	Availability (Up/Down)		
and efficiency	CPU Steal		

Metrics Derived [1]

U of Minn, Morris

æ

Khondoker Prio

Filling-The-Gap Tool

FG Tool's QoS model:

Resource

Workload

Environment

Info set	Item	Struct.	Estim.
	Resources (CPUs, disks)	Х	
Resource	Resource multiplicity	Х	
	(number of cores)		
	Resource scheduling pol-	Х	
	icy		
	Request classes (URIs)	Х	
	User population		Х
Workload	Sequence of resources	Х	
	used by each request		
	class		
	Resource consumption of		X
	each request class		
	Users' think time		X
	Environmental stages	х	
Environment	Average duration of each		Х
Environment	stage		
	Transition probabilities		Х
	between stages		
	Efficiency factor in each		X
	stage		

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

QoS Model [1]

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

æ

Overview	Background	Five Key Aspects	Filling-The-Gap Tool	DevOps Results	Conclusion
Outlin	е				

- 2 Five Key Aspects of DevOps
- 3 Filling-The-Gap Tool
- 4 Results of Using DevOps

5 Conclusion

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで 19/29

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

Case Study of companies in Sri Lanka

- Research of Perera, et al [1] which evaluated usage of DevOps
- Identified end goals for success of a software based company

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ♪ < □ < ○ < ○ 20/29</p>

Methods used for Study

- Listed a set of variables and their respective indicators of success in software
- 150 organizations were each sent a questionnaire with those metrics and their usage of DevOps
- Identify relationship between DevOps: (a) Quality, (b) Responsiveness to business needs and (c) Adaptation to newer technologies

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Overview

e Key Aspects

Filling-The-Gap Tool

DevOps Results

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶

Conclusion

Concept	Variables	Indicators
Quality	Product Quality	 Functionality Reliability Efficiency Maintainability Usability Portability
	Quality of Development Process	1. On time delivery 2. Budget 3. Rework level
Responsiveness	Responsiveness to changes in features due to business needs	 1.Number of defect fix releases Number of releases for new requirements Frequency of Software releases Responsiveness to Business needs
Adaptation to new technologies	Agility	1. Flexibility 2. Speed 3. Leanness 4. Learnings 5.Responsiveness

Models for Goals [2]

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

₹.

かくで 22/29

DevOps Correlation

The Pearson correlation coefficient measures strength of the linear relationship between two variables.

	Pearson Correlation Coefficient with DevOps				
Quality	0.789				
Responsiveness	0.641				
Agility	0.753				

U of Minn, Morris

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Khondoker Prio

Coeffecients in Regression Model

Regression coefficients represent the mean change in the response variable for one unit of change in the predictor variable while holding other predictors in the model constant.

Model	Quality	Responsiveness	Agility
Culture	1.174	.780	.763
Automation	.209	.249	.173
Measuring	.166	.160	.142
Sharing	.159	.226	.259
Continuous Deployment	.113	.017	.126

▲□▶▲@▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ 差 のへで 24/29

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

Overview	Background	Five Key Aspects	Filling-The-Gap Tool	DevOps Results	Conclusion
Outlin	е				

- 2 Five Key Aspects of DevOps
- 3 Filling-The-Gap Tool
- 4 Results of Using DevOps

5 Conclusion

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで 25/29

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris



- DevOps combines Development teams and operations team to bridge gap and enhances both
- DevOps Key Aspects
- We learn how a DevOp tool would work
- More research to evalaute DevOps and performance modeling

< <p>Image: A matrix

★ E ► ★ E ►



Acknowledgement

Nic McPhee and Elena Machkasova

Friends and Family

▲□▶▲□▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ 釣�♡ 27/29

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris



Thank you for your time and attention!

Questions?

Khondoker Prio

U of Minn, Morris

★ E ► < E ►</p>

< □ > < □ >

- J. F. Perez, W. Wang, and G. Casale. Towards adevops approach for software quality engineering. In Proceedings of the 2015 Workshop on Challenges in Performance Methods for Software Development.
- P. Perera, M. Bandara, and I. Perera. Evaluating the impact of devops practice in sri lankan software development organizations. In 2016 Sixteenth International Conference on Advances in ICT for Emerging Regions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ つへで 29/29

U of Minn. Morris