DECENTRALIZED SOCIAL NETWORKS Pros and Cons of the Mastodon Platform

CHARLOT R SHAW

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA MORRIS COMPUTER SCIENCE SENIOR SEMINAR SHAWX538@MORRIS.UMN.EDU

18 04 2020

We see a lot of the same problems on social networks, trolling, spam, deplatforming, changing terms of service... Under the hood, these networks are all very similar, and so end up with the same problems. Is this the only way to build social networks?

OUTLINE

What is Mastodon?

- Decentralization
- Federation
- What makes it different?
 - Benefits
 - Differences
 - Drawbacks
 - Mitigation
- What have we learned?

WHAT IS MASTODON?

Mastodon is

- A social network
- Open source
- Decentralized & Federated
- Compatible with W3C standards [1]



WHAT IS MASTODON?

Here we see from left to right

- The toot editor
- The toots from those I follow
- Notifications
- The federated timeline



DECENTRALIZATION: NOBODIES IN CHARGE HERE



Let's start with Twitter

Twitter Inc. runs the servers that run Twitter



Users connect to those servers, and post their tweets, read their feeds, etc.



In Mastodon, things are different



Each server independently runs Mastodon software



Servers interconnect to handle requests, and don't need a central authority



Federation: More Than The Sum Of Its parts

We have three Mastodon servers, and some are already connected



Alice is a user on the first server.



She posts a public message.



As a local, public message, everyone on her server sees it.



Bob is a user on a different server than Alice.



As Bob is a follower of Alice, Alice's server sends his server a copy of her public message.



All the other users in Bob's server see a copy of Alice's public message in their federated timelines.



Bob publicly posts a reply to Alice's message.



Bob's public response goes out to all his followers.



Charlie is a follower of Bob, so his server gets sent a copy, and it's shown to him.



Charlie however, has never heard of Alice.



Behind the scenes, Charlie's server opens a connection to Alice's.



It asks for Alice initial post by ID.



Charlie can now see Alice's post, direct from her server.



Charlies enjoys Alice's post, and becomes a follower of hers. Their two servers work to maintain the connection in the future.



The takeaway: Mastodon operates on two intertwined layers.

FEDERATION

The network graph, directly driven by the users social actions.

The social graph, shaped in subtle ways by the network graph that underpins it.



Benefits: Triumph Of The Commons

Twitter isn't a public space [2]

- Run for profit
- Geared towards engagement
- Monitors and controls



Mastodon gets closer to a public space

- Open source
- Network of independents
- Not predicated on profit



Mastodon supports a diverse set of communities

- Instance are independent
- No need for one-size-fits-all moderation
- Instances can specialize if they wish

BENEFITS: PRIVACY

Mastodon encourages good privacy

- Explicit and incremental setting for toots
- No singular group in charge of all data
- Host your own server for maximum control

DIFFERENCES: WHEN IN ROME...

DIFFERENCES: TOPIC

Different instance can declare their topics of interest



[3]

Some topics have many smaller Other topics have fewer servers focused on them

- General
- Journalism

servers, but more users in them

- Gaming
- Anime

DIFFERENCES: TOPIC

Breaking it down by category



Users also show differing behavior patterns in different instances

- Following users on same instance
- Following users on different instances
- Following someone back

DIFFERENCES: CLUSTERING

How clustered users are across the whole network, versus on the four biggest instances



Users overwhelmingly connect to users in the same country [4]

DRAWBACKS: Divided We Stand...

A healthy Mastodon network

One node gains unbalanced prominence



If that node fails, the network is damaged



- Is are strongly interconnected
- 2 is isolated
- 3 is also isolated
- 4s are the largest group, but weakly connected



There are many ways towards failure

- Celebrity Users
- Large Instances
- Unstable Servers
- Common Infrastructure

Users find each other, through users

Users find each other, through users



Massive servers have undue influence

10% of servers host 52% of all users[4]

DRAWBACKS: UNRELIABLE SERVERS

A robust network tends to be imperfect



DRAWBACKS: COMMON INFRASTRUCTURE

Mastodon servers are often run as cheaply as possible



[4]

DRAWBACKS: COMMON INFRASTRUCTURE

Anything that effects many, many servers is concerning



MITIGATION: As Long As One Still Stands...

Replication has copies of every message that get saved to other servers

Subscription based replication stores copies of subscribed messages on subscribers servers

Removing the top ten servers by toots loses 62.69% of all toots

The same scenario with subscription replication loses only 2.1%

There are downsides

- 23% of toots have 10+ replicas
- 9.7% of toots have none

Randomized replication places the copies on random servers, to avoid clustering

Removing the top 25 servers by number of toots loses 5% of all toots The same scenario with a single random replication loses only 0.8%

Still have downsides

- Need a common index of all instances
- Potential for abuse
- Hosting random data on behalf of others

CONCLUSIONS: THEORY MEETS PRACTICE

Mastodon offers a public space, with a diverse set of communities, and mechanisms to protect that diversity.

Although these are serious scenarios, they are hypothetical at present. Mastodon is growing steadily, with over a million users, and eight hundred contributors. [5]

CONCLUSIONS

Questions?



References

CHRISTOPHER LEMMER WEBBER, JESSICA TALLON, ERIN SHEPHERD, AMY GUY, AND EVAN PRODROMOU.

Астіvітурив.

Technical report, World Wide Web Consortium, 2018.



LAURA KALBAG.

WHAT IS MASTODON AND WHY SHOULD I USE IT?, 2018.

MATTEO ZIGNANI, SABRINA GAITO, AND GIAN PAOLO ROSSI. FOLLOW THE "MASTODON": STRUCTURE AND EVOLUTION OF A DECENTRALIZED ONLINE SOCIAL NETWORK.

In Twelfth International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 2018.

 ARAVINDH RAMAN, SAGAR JOGLEKAR, EMILIANO DE CRISTOFARO, NISHANTH SASTRY, AND GARETH TYSON.
CHALLENGES IN THE DECENTRALISED WEB: THE MASTODON CASE. In Proceedings of the Internet Measurement Conference, IMC '19, page 217–229, New York, NY, USA, 2019. Association for Computing Machinery.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Charlot Shaw (They/Them)

Charlot is interested in making technology that is fundamentally equitable, and belongs to the users. This includes open source, indie and distributed web technology. shawx538@morris.umn.edu (email) unwary@wandering.shop

(Mastodon)

- Computer Science Major
- Spanish Minor
- Honors Student
- McNair Scholar