LiDAR Segmentation-based Adversarial Attacks on Autonomous Vehicles

Blake Johnson









Outline

• Intro

- AVs
- Lidar

• Background

- Neural Networks
- Adversarial Examples
- LiDAR Data Processing
- Attack Scenarios

- Adversarial Location Generation
 - Attack Framework
 - Loss Variables
 - Total Loss Function
 - White-box Attack
- Attack Execution
 - Setup
 - Results
 - SemanticKITTI
 Dataset
 - Real-World
- Conclusion

Introduction

Autonomous Vehicles (AVs)

- Utilize numerous sensors to drive (cameras, sonar, GPS, etc.)
- Various levels
- **Rising popularity**
- Utilize LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) for 3D perception of environment

LEVEL 0	LEVEL 1 LEVEL 1 LEVEL 1 LEVEL 1 LEVEL 1 LEVEL 1 LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2 With the set of the set
LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4 Control of the set of th	LEVEL 5 View of the second sec
SOURCE: SAE International		BUSINESS INSIDE

AUTS CARS

SIDER



Waymo Driverless Taxi

Honda's Level 3 Self-Driving car



LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging)

- LiDAR fires lasers into surroundings to measure distance from potential objects
- Generates 3D point cloud through firing lasers at various angles
- Segmentation step of LiDAR separates point cloud into regions
 - Regions are labeled with classes (grass, vehicle, road, etc.) by neural network
- Vulnerable to adversarial attacks







Outline

• Intro

- AVs
- Lidar

Background

- Neural Networks
- Adversarial Examples
- LiDAR Data Processing
- Attack Scenarios

- Adversarial Location Generation
 - Attack Framework
 - Loss Variables
 - Total Loss Function
 - White-box Attack
- Attack Execution
 - Setup
 - Results
 - SemanticKITTI
 - Dataset
 - Real-World
- Conclusion

Background

Neural Networks-Framework

- Consist of up to millions of interconnected nodes
- Organized into layers with data flowing one-way
- A node's incoming connections issued weight values
- Data value flowing through node is multiplied by weight
- Product is compared to threshold value
- Sent to outgoing connections or stopped
- Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)

а	1.01.01.00.90.60.60.61.01.01.01.01.0
	1.00.50.00.00.00.00.00.00.51.01.01.0
	1.0 0.2 0.2 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.0
	1.00.91.01.01.01.01.00.90.00.00.91.0
	1.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.00.50.0051.0
	1.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.5 1.0
	1.0040.000.0000000000000000000000000000
	0.90.00.0061.01.01.01.00.50.0051.0
	0.5 0.0 0.6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0
	0.5 0.0 0.7 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 1.0
	0.6 0.0 0.6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 1.0
	0.90.10.00.60.70.70.50.00.50.00.51.0
	1.007010.00000010.9080.0051.0
	1.01.01.00.80.80.91.01.01.01.01.01.0



Neural Networks-Training

- Weight and threshold values are randomized
- Input data is fed into net
- Data is multiplied and transformed while flowing through layers
- Weight and threshold values repeatedly adjusted
- Complete when input data with specific labels consistently produces similar outputs

Adversarial Examples

- Maliciously created inputs
- Indistinguishable to human eye
- Intention of fooling machine learning models
- Goal is to result in misclassification of given input
- M(x')≠y or M(x')=y'





LiDAR Data Processing

Pre-Processing

- Raw data points transformed into coordinate system
- ROI filters out irrelevant data points
- Filtered point cloud is mapped to 512 x 512 cells
- Eight features are created for each cell
- This generates feature matrix (8 x 512 x 512)



DNN-based Segmentation

- Feature matrix is used as input for convolutional neural network (CNN)
- CNN produce output of five metrics (5 x 512 x 512)

Metrics	Description Offset to the predicted center of the cluster the cell belongs	
Center offset		
	to.	
Objectness	The probability of a cell belonging to an obstacle.	
Positiveness	The confidence score of the detection.	
Object height	The predicted object height.	
Class probability The probability of the cell being a part of a vehicle, p trian, etc.		



Post-Processing

Objectness	The probability of a cell belonging to an obstacle.	
Positiveness	The confidence score of the detection.	

- Connected graph is created from output metrics for object cluster candidates
- Candidates filtered by average positiveness
- Bounding box constructed from object cluster candidate's dimensions
- Individual frames of processed results are connected to generate tracked objects



Outline

• Intro

- AVs
- Lidar

• Background

- Neural Networks
- Adversarial Examples
- LiDAR Data Processing
- Attack Scenarios

- Adversarial Location Generation
 - Attack Framework
 - Loss Variables
 - Total Loss Function
 - White-box Attack
- Attack Execution
 - Setup
 - Results
 - SemanticKITTI
 - Dataset
 - Real-World
- Conclusion

Attack Scenarios

Vehicle Hiding Attack

- Driving environment consists of car parked in place
- Adversarial objects added to make car disappear from LiDAR perception system of victim AV
- Effects



Road Surface Changing Attack

- Driving environment consists of an open road
- Adversarial objects added to make LiDAR perception system of victim AV perceive road as some undrivable surface
- Effects



Outline

• Intro

- AVs
- Lidar

• Background

- Neural Networks
- Adversarial Examples
- LiDAR Data Processing
- Attack Scenarios

- Adversarial Location Generation
 - Attack Framework
 - Loss Variables
 - Total Loss Function
 - White-box Attack
- Attack Execution
 - Setup
 - Results
 - SemanticKITTI
 Dataset
 - Real-World
- Conclusion

Adversarial Location Generation

31 12 1 **出**前6 (3) @ **Initial random** Adversarial Place **Original scene** point clusters objects adversarial objects at the derived T. . æ Adversarial 2. locations L 669 scene 7 12.5 Adversarial Locations of Original adversarial location point clouds point clusters generation ----

Attack Framework

• Find optimized locations for adversarial objects

- Mimic victim AV driving patterns to collect 3D point cloud data
- Initialize adversarial objects as random point clusters
- Add random clusters to original point cloud
- Optimize cluster center location through loss function
- Place adversarial objects at these locations



Variables of Loss



Semantic Loss

- Associated measurement of semantic misleading method
- Goal of semantic misleading is to make semantic features of reference point clouds and adversarial point clouds similar
- Global features (large-scale structures)
- Feature extractor used to extract semantic features of point clouds
- Semantic loss is measurement of this similarity



Segmentation Loss

- Measurement of distance between target label and predicted (actual) label
- Target points not misclassified -> positive loss value
- Smaller confidence means larger positive values
- Sum of these values

Occlusion Loss

- Unique from the other two loss variables
- Created to prevent adversarial clusters from being obstructed by other real-world objects
- Value is zero if not blocked
- High loss values for blocked clusters
- Sum of these values





Total Loss Function

- $L_t = L_{seg} + \alpha L_{sem} + \beta L_{occ}$
- Alpha and beta are predefined hyper-parameters
- Seek to minimize
- L_t' is the gradient of the loss function
- Indicates how small perturbations change the loss
- Minimizing this allows for finding of optimal values tolerable to perturbations
- Resistant to location errors

White-Box Attack

- We know the semantic segmentation model used in the victim AV's LiDAR perception system
- Locations of adversarial objects need to be reasonable
- This is done through bounding boxes
- Locations of constrained adversarial clusters can be derived through optimization algorithm:
- Adam Optimizer is used to find optimized value of (pk1, pk2, pk3)

$$\min_{\{O_k^a\}_{k=1}^K} L^* = L_t + \eta L'_t$$
s.t. $\{x_{k1}^a\}_{k=1}^K \in [A_{min}, A_{max}],$
 $\{x_{k2}^a\}_{k=1}^K \in [B_{min}, B_{max}],$
 $\{x_{k3}^a\}_{k=1}^K \in [C_{min}, C_{max}],$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k1}^{a} &= \frac{(A_{max} - A_{min})}{2} \cdot tanh(p_{k1}) + \frac{(A_{max} + A_{min})}{2} \\ x_{k2}^{a} &= \frac{(B_{max} - B_{min})}{2} \cdot tanh(p_{k2}) + \frac{(B_{max} + B_{min})}{2} \\ x_{k3}^{a} &= \frac{(C_{max} - C_{min})}{2} \cdot tanh(p_{k3}) + \frac{(C_{max} + C_{min})}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Outline

• Intro

- AVs
- Lidar

• Background

- Neural Networks
- Adversarial Examples
- LiDAR Data Processing
- Attack Scenarios

- Adversarial Location Generation
 - Attack Framework
 - Loss Variables
 - Total Loss Function
 - White-box Attack
- Attack Execution
 - Setup
 - Results
 - SemanticKITTI
 - Dataset
 - Real-World
- Conclusion

Attack Execution

Setup

- Attack is detailed in Adversarial Attacks against LiDAR Semantic Segmentation in Autonomous Driving
- Use 5 different point cloud segmentation models on public dataset SemanticKITTI attack : PointNet, PointNet++, PointASNL , Cylinder 3D, SqueezeSeg
- Data collected through LiDAR-mounted (Ouster OS1-64) vehicle for real-world attack
- Collected on two campus roads and three parking lots
- Data manually labeled

Results

SemanticKITTI

- 20 random scenes containing 5 consecutive point cloud frames
- Adversarial point clusters added to scenes
- Alpha, beta, and eta hyper-parameters set to 0.1, 1, 0.1
- Adam Optimizer set to 0.1
- After locations are derived, adversarial point clusters are replaced 100 times and results recorded
- Average is found for attack success rate

Models	Vehicle Hiding	Road Surface Changing
PointNet	82%	78%
SqueezeSeg	77%	66%
Cylinder3D	72%	63%
PointNet++	69%	60%
PointASNL	62%	58%

Table 1: Success rates of attacks using SemanticKITTI data on different segmentation models [7]



Real-World Attacks

Vehicle Hiding Attack



(a) Original scene



(e) Original scene



(b) Original segmentation result (c) Adding adversarial objects



(f) Original segmentation result (g) Adding adversarial objects





(d) The result after attack



(h) The result after attack

Road Surface Changing Attack



Outline

• Intro

- AVs
- Lidar
- Background
 - Neural Networks
 - Adversarial Examples
 - LiDAR Data Processing
- Attack Scenarios

- Adversarial Location Generation
 - Attack Framework
 - Loss Variables
 - Total Loss Function
 - White-box Attack
- Attack Execution
 - Setup
 - Results
 - SemanticKITTI
 - Dataset
 - Real-World
- Conclusion

Conclusion

Conclusion

- High success rates in adversarial attacks
- Vulnerability of LiDAR

Questions?

References

- https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3485730.3485935
- https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3319535.3339815
- <u>https://www.aljazeera.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/2022-12-13-Waymo-Test-3.jpg?resize=1</u> 800%2C1676
- <u>https://japan-forward.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/HONDA-AUTONOMOUS_LEGEND-009-scaled.jpg</u>
- <u>https://s3-prod.autonews.com/s3fs-public/OEM06_310269985_AR_-1_XBTYTHYOMPQZ.jpg</u>
- https://miro.medium.com/v2/resize:fit:640/format:webp/1*QJtTdtPhikY3KywV44L0Pw.png
- <u>https://editor.analyticsvidhya.com/uploads/25366Convolutional_Neural_Network_to_identify_the_image_of_a_bird.png</u>
- <u>https://pytorch.org/tutorials/_images/fgsm_panda_image.png</u>
- <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358114298/figure/fig2/AS:11431281099289035@166</u> 9249094251/The-impact-of-adversarial-attacks-in-an-application-related-to-AVs-such-as-segmenta tion.ppm